

# Holographic Aspects of Large- $N$ Vector Models

Anastasios C. Petkou

Institute of Theoretical Physics  
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki



Research co-financed by Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF), under the grants scheme "ARISTEIA II".



- 1 Motivations
- 2 The bosonic  $O(N)$  vector model as a CFT
  - Setup
  - The free field theory
  - The skeleton graphs
- 3 Summary and outlook

- 1 Motivations
- 2 The bosonic  $O(N)$  vector model as a CFT
  - Setup
  - The free field theory
  - The skeleton graphs
- 3 Summary and outlook

- 1 Motivations
- 2 The bosonic  $O(N)$  vector model as a CFT
  - Setup
  - The free field theory
  - The skeleton graphs
- 3 Summary and outlook

## 1. Test Holography and AdS/CFT beyond string theory.

- The  $O(N)$  vector model:  $O(1/N)$  anomalous dimensions of the  $O(N)$ -singlet higher-spin currents are all determined by  $\gamma_\phi$  [W. RÜHL - PRIVATE COMMUNICATION ]:

$$J_{(s)} \sim \phi^a \partial_{(\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s)} \phi^a, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

$$\Delta_s = s + 1 + 4\gamma_\phi \frac{s-2}{2s-1} + \dots, \quad s = 2k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \gamma_\phi \sim O(1/N)$$

$$s \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta_s - s \approx 2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \gamma_\phi \right)$$

- Contrast with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM: 1) No  $\ln s$  growth that would signal the presence of gauge fields. 2) Hard to arise from rotating strings in AdS. (However, fast rotating ultrashort strings (particles?) in an  $\text{AdS}_4$  black hole yield the  $T$ -independent result [ARMONI, BARBON AND A.C.P. (02)])

$$s \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta - s \approx \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} + \dots$$

## 1. Test Holography and AdS/CFT beyond string theory.

- The  $O(N)$  vector model:  $O(1/N)$  anomalous dimensions of the  $O(N)$ -singlet higher-spin currents are all determined by  $\gamma_\phi$  [W. RÜHL - PRIVATE COMMUNICATION ]:

$$J_{(s)} \sim \phi^a \partial_{\{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s\}} \phi^a, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

$$\Delta_s = s + 1 + 4\gamma_\phi \frac{s-2}{2s-1} + \dots, \quad s = 2k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \gamma_\phi \sim O(1/N)$$

$$s \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta_s - s \approx 2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \gamma_\phi \right)$$

- Contrast with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM: 1) No  $\ln s$  growth that would signal the presence of gauge fields. 2) Hard to arise from rotating strings in AdS. (However, fast rotating ultrashort strings (particles?) in an  $\text{AdS}_4$  black hole yield the  $T$ -independent result [ARMONI, BARBON AND A.C.P. (02)])

$$s \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta - s \approx \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} + \dots$$

## 1. Test Holography and AdS/CFT beyond string theory.

- The  $O(N)$  vector model:  $O(1/N)$  anomalous dimensions of the  $O(N)$ -singlet higher-spin currents are all determined by  $\gamma_\phi$  [W. RÜHL - PRIVATE COMMUNICATION ]:

$$J_{(s)} \sim \phi^a \partial_{\{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s\}} \phi^a, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

$$\Delta_s = s + 1 + 4\gamma_\phi \frac{s-2}{2s-1} + \dots, \quad s = 2k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \gamma_\phi \sim O(1/N)$$

$$s \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta_s - s \approx 2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \gamma_\phi \right)$$

- Contrast with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM: 1) No  $\ln s$  growth that would signal the presence of gauge fields. 2) Hard to arise from rotating strings in AdS. (However, fast rotating ultrashort strings (particles?) in an  $\text{AdS}_4$  black hole yield the  $T$ -independent result [ARMONI, BARBON AND A.C.P. (02)])

$$s \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta - s \approx \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} + \dots$$

## The conjectures

The  $O(N)$  singlet sector of the bosonic vector model is dual to the simplest Vasiliev Theory on  $AdS_4$  [KLEBANOV AND POLYAKOV (02)].

An analogous conjecture for the  $O(N)$  fermionic vector model - slightly complicated due to parity issues - [LEIGH AND A. C. P. , SEZGIN AND SUNDELL (03)]

The bosonic conjecture has been tested up to 3-pt couplings. [E.G. GIOMBI AND YIN (09)].



## The conjectures

The  $O(N)$  singlet sector of the bosonic vector model is dual to the simplest Vasiliev Theory on  $AdS_4$  [KLEBANOV AND POLYAKOV (02)].

An analogous conjecture for the  $O(N)$  fermionic vector model - slightly complicated due to parity issues - [LEIGH AND A. C. P. , SEZGIN AND SUNDELL (03)]

The bosonic conjecture has been tested up to 3-pt couplings. [E.G. GIOMBI AND YIN (09)].

2. Compare the bulk and boundary OPE studies: understand how the bulk "emerges" from the boundary and vice versa.

- Diagrammatic  $1/N$  "skeleton" expansion elucidates the OPE structure of the boundary theories and gives interesting results.

However, extension of such techniques to the bulk is rather mysterious (see however [X. BEKAERT ET. AL (14)]).

Sample further questions (still impenetrable in  $d \geq 3$ )

3. HS black-holes [S. DIDENKO ET. AL (09)] and boundary thermalization: The bosonic model realises the Mermin-Wagner theorem:  $O(N)$  symmetry does not break for  $T > 0$  - parity does break for  $T > 0$ . How is this realised in terms of HSs?
4.  $N \rightarrow 0$  limit relevant to polymers?

2. Compare the bulk and boundary OPE studies: understand how the bulk "emerges" from the boundary and vice versa.

- Diagrammatic  $1/N$  "skeleton" expansion elucidates the OPE structure of the boundary theories and gives interesting results.

However, extension of such techniques to the bulk is rather mysterious (see however [X. BEKAERT ET. AL (14)]).

Sample further questions (still impenetrable in  $d \geq 3$ )

3. HS black-holes [S. DIDENKO ET. AL (09)] and boundary thermalization: The bosonic model realises the Mermin-Wagner theorem:  $O(N)$  symmetry does not break for  $T > 0$  - parity does break for  $T > 0$ . How is this realised in terms of HSs?

4.  $N \rightarrow 0$  limit relevant to polymers?

2. Compare the bulk and boundary OPE studies: understand how the bulk "emerges" from the boundary and vice versa.

- Diagrammatic  $1/N$  "skeleton" expansion elucidates the OPE structure of the boundary theories and gives interesting results.

However, extension of such techniques to the bulk is rather mysterious (see however [X. BEKAERT ET. AL (14)]).

Sample further questions (still impenetrable in  $d \geq 3$ )

3. HS black-holes [S. DIDENKO ET. AL (09)] and boundary thermalization: The bosonic model realises the Mermin-Wagner theorem:  $O(N)$  symmetry does not break for  $T > 0$  - parity does break for  $T > 0$ . How is this realised in terms of HSs?

4.  $N \rightarrow 0$  limit relevant to polymers?

2. Compare the bulk and boundary OPE studies: understand how the bulk "emerges" from the boundary and vice versa.

- Diagrammatic  $1/N$  "skeleton" expansion elucidates the OPE structure of the boundary theories and gives interesting results.

However, extension of such techniques to the bulk is rather mysterious (see however [X. BEKAERT ET. AL (14)]).

Sample further questions (still impenetrable in  $d \geq 3$ )

3. HS black-holes [S. DIDENKO ET. AL (09)] and boundary thermalization: The bosonic model realises the Mermin-Wagner theorem:  $O(N)$  symmetry does not break for  $T > 0$  - parity does break for  $T > 0$ . How is this realised in terms of HSs?

4.  $N \rightarrow 0$  limit relevant to polymers?

## Questions: global symmetries in the boundary

- Vector models exhibit global and discrete symmetry breaking: the bosonic model  $O(N) \rightarrow O(N-1)$ , the fermionic model parity breaking.
- If there is holography without strings and branes, a) what is the bulk counterpart of the global  $O(N)$  boundary symmetry and b) what is its breaking pattern?

## Answer: singletons

[R. G. LEIGH AND A. C. P. (12)] (SEE ALSO [O. GELFOND AND M. VASILIEV (13)])

- At least to leading order in  $1/N$ , the bulk HS theory can be deformed by "eating" (i.e. integrating-in) singletons  $\rightarrow$  these are pushed towards the boundary and induce the  $N \rightarrow N+1$  shift.
- We need, however, some nontrivial bulk interaction with the HSs in order to induce the necessary boundary terms to glue the extra field to the rest.

## Questions: global symmetries in the boundary

- Vector models exhibit global and discrete symmetry breaking: the bosonic model  $O(N) \rightarrow O(N-1)$ , the fermionic model parity breaking.
- If there is holography without strings and branes, a) what is the bulk counterpart of the global  $O(N)$  boundary symmetry and b) what is its breaking pattern?

## Answer: singletons

[R. G. LEIGH AND A. C. P. (12)] (SEE ALSO [O. GELFOND AND M. VASILIEV (13)])

- At least to leading order in  $1/N$ , the bulk HS theory can be deformed by "eating" (i.e. integrating-in) singletons  $\rightarrow$  these are pushed towards the boundary and induce the  $N \rightarrow N+1$  shift.
- We need, however, some nontrivial bulk interaction with the HSs in order to induce the necessary boundary terms to glue the extra field to the rest.

### Questions: global symmetries in the boundary

- Vector models exhibit global and discrete symmetry breaking: the bosonic model  $O(N) \rightarrow O(N-1)$ , the fermionic model parity breaking.
- If there is holography without strings and branes, a) what is the bulk counterpart of the global  $O(N)$  boundary symmetry and b) what is its breaking pattern?

### Answer: singletons

[R. G. LEIGH AND A. C. P. (12)] (SEE ALSO [O. GELFOND AND M. VASILIEV (13)])

- At least to leading order in  $1/N$ , the bulk HS theory can be deformed by "eating" (i.e. integrating-in) singletons  $\rightarrow$  these are pushed towards the boundary and induce the  $N \rightarrow N+1$  shift.
- We need, however, some nontrivial bulk interaction with the HSs in order to induce the necessary boundary terms to glue the extra field to the rest.



### Questions: global symmetries in the boundary

- Vector models exhibit global and discrete symmetry breaking: the bosonic model  $O(N) \rightarrow O(N-1)$ , the fermionic model parity breaking.
- If there is holography without strings and branes, a) what is the bulk counterpart of the global  $O(N)$  boundary symmetry and b) what is its breaking pattern?

### Answer: singletons

[R. G. LEIGH AND A. C. P. (12)] (SEE ALSO [O. GELFOND AND M. VASILIEV (13)])

- At least to leading order in  $1/N$ , the bulk HS theory can be deformed by "eating" (i.e. integrating-in) singletons  $\rightarrow$  these are pushed towards the boundary and induce the  $N \rightarrow N+1$  shift.
- We need, however, some nontrivial bulk interaction with the HSs in order to induce the necessary boundary terms to glue the extra field to the rest.

### Questions: global symmetries in the boundary

- Vector models exhibit global and discrete symmetry breaking: the bosonic model  $O(N) \rightarrow O(N-1)$ , the fermionic model parity breaking.
- If there is holography without strings and branes, a) what is the bulk counterpart of the global  $O(N)$  boundary symmetry and b) what is its breaking pattern?

### Answer: singletons

[R. G. LEIGH AND A. C. P. (12)] (SEE ALSO [O. GELFOND AND M. VASILIEV (13)])

- At least to leading order in  $1/N$ , the bulk HS theory can be deformed by "eating" (i.e. integrating-in) singletons  $\rightarrow$  these are pushed towards the boundary and induce the  $N \rightarrow N+1$  shift.
- We need, however, some nontrivial bulk interaction with the HSs in order to induce the necessary boundary terms to glue the extra field to the rest.

### Questions: global symmetries in the boundary

- Vector models exhibit global and discrete symmetry breaking: the bosonic model  $O(N) \rightarrow O(N-1)$ , the fermionic model parity breaking.
- If there is holography without strings and branes, a) what is the bulk counterpart of the global  $O(N)$  boundary symmetry and b) what is its breaking pattern?

### Answer: singletons

[R. G. LEIGH AND A. C. P. (12)] (SEE ALSO [O. GELFOND AND M. VASILIEV (13)])

- At least to leading order in  $1/N$ , the bulk HS theory can be deformed by "eating" (i.e. integrating-in) singletons  $\rightarrow$  these are pushed towards the boundary and induce the  $N \rightarrow N+1$  shift.
- We need, however, some nontrivial bulk interaction with the HSs in order to induce the necessary boundary terms to glue the extra field to the rest.

- 1 Motivations
- 2 The bosonic  $O(N)$  vector model as a CFT
  - Setup
    - The free field theory
    - The skeleton graphs
- 3 Summary and outlook

The relevant 2- and 3-pt functions ( $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$ )

$$\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi}} \delta^{ab}, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta}}$$

$$\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \rangle = g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta} (x_{13}^2 x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta}} \delta^{ab}$$

- The question: can conformal invariance alone determine the values of  $\Delta_\phi$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}$ ?
- Older answer ("old bootstrap"): use an improved Dyson-Schwinger expansion for the above 2- and 3-pt functions that leads to algebraic equations for the critical parameters. [THE ST. PETERSBURG GROUP (80-82)], e.g.  $\Delta_\phi$  is known up to  $O(1/N^3)$ .

The relevant 2- and 3-pt functions ( $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$ )



$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \rangle &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi}} \delta^{ab}, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta}} \\ \langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \rangle &= g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta} (x_{13}^2 x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta}} \delta^{ab}\end{aligned}$$

- The question: can conformal invariance alone determine the values of  $\Delta_\phi$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}$ ?
- Older answer ("old bootstrap"): use an improved Dyson-Schwinger expansion for the above 2- and 3-pt functions that leads to algebraic equations for the critical parameters. [THE ST. PETERSBURG GROUP (80-82)], e.g.  $\Delta_\phi$  is known up to  $O(1/N^3)$ .

The relevant 2- and 3-pt functions ( $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$ )



$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \rangle &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi}} \delta^{ab}, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta}} \\ \langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \rangle &= g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta} (x_{13}^2 x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta}} \delta^{ab}\end{aligned}$$

- The question: can conformal invariance alone determine the values of  $\Delta_\phi$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}$ ?
- Older answer ("old bootstrap"): use an improved Dyson-Schwinger expansion for the above 2- and 3-pt functions that leads to algebraic equations for the critical parameters. [THE ST. PETERSBURG GROUP (80-82)], e.g.  $\Delta_\phi$  is known up to  $O(1/N^3)$ .

The relevant 2- and 3-pt functions ( $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$ )

•

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \rangle &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi}} \delta^{ab}, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta}} \\ \langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \rangle &= g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta} (x_{13}^2 x_{23}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta}} \delta^{ab}\end{aligned}$$

- The question: can conformal invariance alone determine the values of  $\Delta_\phi, \Delta$  and  $g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}$ ?
- Older answer ("old bootstrap"): use an improved Dyson-Schwinger expansion for the above 2- and 3-pt functions that leads to algebraic equations for the critical parameters. [THE ST. PETERSBURG GROUP (80-82)], e.g.  $\Delta_\phi$  is known up to  $O(1/N^3)$ .



- New bootstrap: focus on 4-pt functions and use the analytic properties of the conformal OPE (Newest bootstrap: use algorithmic techniques and guesswork to obtain numerical results [S. RYCHKOV ET. AL. (10)])

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \phi^c(x_3) \phi^d(x_4) \rangle &\equiv \Phi^{abcd}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &= \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} \Phi_S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &+ \mathcal{E}^{[ab, cd]} \Phi_A(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &+ \mathcal{T}^{(ab, cd)} \Phi_{st}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle &\equiv \delta^{ab} \Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle &\equiv \Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\end{aligned}$$

- These will be functions of  $v$  and  $Y$  related to the usual conformal ratios as

$$u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}, \quad v = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}, \quad Y = 1 - \frac{v}{u}$$

with  $v, Y \rightarrow 0$  as  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

- New bootstrap: focus on 4-pt functions and use the analytic properties of the conformal OPE (Newest bootstrap: use algorithmic techniques and guesswork to obtain numerical results [S. RYCHKOV ET. AL. (10)])

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1)\phi^b(x_2)\phi^c(x_3)\phi^d(x_4) \rangle &\equiv \Phi^{abcd}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &= \delta^{ab}\delta^{cd}\Phi_S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &+ \mathcal{E}^{[ab,cd]}\Phi_A(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &+ \mathcal{T}^{(ab,cd)}\Phi_{st}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi^a(x_1)\phi^b(x_2)\mathcal{O}(x_3)\mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle &\equiv \delta^{ab}\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2)\mathcal{O}(x_3)\mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle &\equiv \Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\end{aligned}$$

- These will be functions of  $v$  and  $Y$  related to the usual conformal ratios as

$$u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}, \quad v = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}, \quad Y = 1 - \frac{v}{u}$$

with  $v, Y \rightarrow 0$  as  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

- We would need the following OPE

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^a(x_1)\phi^b(x_2) = & \sum_{\Delta_s} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_s}} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s}} [\mathcal{O}_s(x_2)] \right], \\ & + \sum_{\Delta'_s} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{[ab,cd]}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta'_s}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s^{[cd]}}}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s^{[cd]}}} [\mathcal{O}_s^{[cd]}(x_2)] \\ & + \sum_{\Delta''_s} \frac{\mathcal{T}^{(ab,cd)}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta''_s}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s^{(cd)}}}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s^{(cd)}}} [\mathcal{O}_s^{(cd)}(x_2)], \end{aligned}$$

- The  $[\mathcal{O}_s]$ 's represent the full contributions (i.e. including descendants). The  $C_{\mathcal{O}_s}$ 's are the 2-pt function normalisation constants and the  $g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}$ 's are the corresponding 3-pt function couplings. We normalized to one the 2-pt function of the  $\phi^a$ 's.  
The above OPE represents a converging series in the limit  $x_{12} \rightarrow 0$  limit.

- We would need the following OPE

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^a(x_1)\phi^b(x_2) &= \sum_{\Delta_s} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_s}} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s}} [\mathcal{O}_s(x_2)] \right], \\ &+ \sum_{\Delta'_s} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{[ab,cd]}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta'_s}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s^{[cd]}}}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s^{[cd]}}} [\mathcal{O}_s^{[cd]}(x_2)] \\ &+ \sum_{\Delta''_s} \frac{\mathcal{T}^{(ab,cd)}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta''_s}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s^{(cd)}}}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s^{(cd)}}} [\mathcal{O}_s^{(cd)}(x_2)], \end{aligned}$$

- The  $[\mathcal{O}_s]$ 's represent the full contributions (i.e. including descendants). The  $C_{\mathcal{O}_s}$ 's are the 2-pt function normalisation constants and the  $g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}$ 's are the corresponding 3-pt function couplings. We normalized to one the 2-pt function of the  $\phi^a$ 's.  
The above OPE represents a converging series in the limit  $x_{12} \rightarrow 0$  limit.

- We would also need

$$\phi^a(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi+\Delta}{2}}} \left[ \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}}{(x_{12}^2)^{-\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}}} [\phi^a(x_2)] + \frac{g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}}{C_F} \frac{[F^a(x_2)]}{(x_{12}^2)^{-\frac{\Delta_F}{2}}} + \dots \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2) = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta}} \left[ C_{\mathcal{O}} + \frac{g_{\mathcal{O}}}{C_{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{[\mathcal{O}(x_2)]}{(x_{12}^2)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}} + \frac{g_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}T}}{C_T} \frac{C_{\mu\nu}[T_{\mu\nu}(x_2)]}{(x_{12}^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}} + \dots \right]$$

- 1 Motivations
- 2 The bosonic  $O(N)$  vector model as a CFT
  - Setup
  - The free field theory
  - The skeleton graphs
- 3 Summary and outlook

- Inserting the OPEs into the 4-pt functions we obtain formulae like

$$\Phi(v, Y) = \sum_{\Delta_s} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}^2}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s}} \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y)$$

with  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y)$  the conformal partial wave (CPW) representing the contribution of the operator  $\mathcal{O}_s$  and all its descendants into the 4-pt function.

- The CPW's are given in terms of a double series of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y) = v^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_s - s)} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} A_{nm} v^n Y^m$$

- When the operators are conserved spin- $s$  currents with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s$  the leading singular term has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y) = A_{0s} v^{\frac{1}{2}d-1} Y^s [1 + O(v)] \dots$$

The above behaviour can be used to detect the presence of higher-spin conserved currents in a 4-pt function.

- Inserting the OPEs into the 4-pt functions we obtain formulae like

$$\Phi(v, Y) = \sum_{\Delta_s} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}^2}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s}} \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y)$$

with  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y)$  the conformal partial wave (CPW) representing the contribution of the operator  $\mathcal{O}_s$  and all its descendants into the 4-pt function.

- The CPW's are given in terms of a double series of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y) = v^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_s - s)} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} A_{nm} v^n Y^m$$

- When the operators are conserved spin- $s$  currents with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s$  the leading singular term has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y) = A_{0s} v^{\frac{1}{2}d-1} Y^s [1 + O(v)] \dots$$

The above behaviour can be used to detect the presence of higher-spin conserved currents in a 4-pt function.



- Inserting the OPEs into the 4-pt functions we obtain formulae like

$$\Phi(v, Y) = \sum_{\Delta_s} \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_s}^2}{C_{\mathcal{O}_s}} \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y)$$

with  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y)$  the conformal partial wave (CPW) representing the contribution of the operator  $\mathcal{O}_s$  and all its descendants into the 4-pt function.

- The CPW's are given in terms of a double series of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y) = v^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_s - s)} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} A_{nm} v^n Y^m$$

- When the operators are conserved spin- $s$  currents with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s$  the leading singular term has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_s}(v, Y) = A_{0s} v^{\frac{1}{2}d-1} Y^s [1 + O(v)] \dots$$

The above behaviour can be used to detect the presence of higher-spin conserved currents in a 4-pt function.

- Assuming the presence of one only scalar operator  $\mathcal{O}$  with dimension  $\Delta < d$  in the OPE, we have for the first few most singular terms

$$\Phi_S(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2}{C_{\mathcal{O}}} v^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta; Y\right) + \frac{g_{\phi\phi T}^2}{4C_T} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y^2 + \dots \right]$$

- We need to match this with an explicit calculation. The obvious one is free field theory

$$\Phi_S(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \right]$$

- We first obtain that

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1, \quad \Delta = 2\Delta_\phi = d - 2,$$

- Assuming the presence of one only scalar operator  $\mathcal{O}$  with dimension  $\Delta < d$  in the OPE, we have for the first few most singular terms

$$\Phi_S(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2}{C_{\mathcal{O}}} v^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta; Y\right) + \frac{g_{\phi\phi T}^2}{4C_T} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y^2 + \dots \right]$$

- We need to match this with an explicit calculation. The obvious one is free field theory

$$\Phi_S(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \right]$$

- We first obtain that

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1, \quad \Delta = 2\Delta_\phi = d - 2,$$

- Assuming the presence of one only scalar operator  $\mathcal{O}$  with dimension  $\Delta < d$  in the OPE, we have for the first few most singular terms

$$\Phi_S(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2}{C_{\mathcal{O}}} v^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta; Y\right) + \frac{g_{\phi\phi T}^2}{4C_T} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y^2 + \dots \right]$$

- We need to match this with an explicit calculation. The obvious one is free field theory

$$\Phi_S(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \right]$$

- We first obtain that

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1, \quad \Delta = 2\Delta_\phi = d - 2,$$

- Hence we may write

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \phi^a(x) \phi^a(x), \Rightarrow C_{\mathcal{O}} = 1$$

- Next we find

$$g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{2}{N}$$

- A conformal Ward identity fixes

$$g_{\phi\phi T} = \frac{d\Delta_{\phi}}{(d-1)S_d}$$

and finally we find

$$C_T = N \frac{d}{(d-1)S_d^2}$$

- Hence we may write

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \phi^a(x) \phi^a(x), \Rightarrow C_{\mathcal{O}} = 1$$

- Next we find

$$g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{2}{N}$$

- A conformal Ward identity fixes

$$g_{\phi\phi T} = \frac{d\Delta_{\phi}}{(d-1)S_d}$$

and finally we find

$$C_T = N \frac{d}{(d-1)S_d^2}$$

- Hence we may write

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \phi^a(x) \phi^a(x), \Rightarrow C_{\mathcal{O}} = 1$$

- Next we find

$$g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{2}{N}$$

- A conformal Ward identity fixes

$$g_{\phi\phi T} = \frac{d\Delta_{\phi}}{(d-1)S_d}$$

and finally we find

$$C_T = N \frac{d}{(d-1)S_d^2}$$

- The simple expression

$$\frac{1}{N} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \right)$$

packages efficiently the contributions of an infinite number of even-spin HS currents, the normalization of their 2-pt functions and their 3-pt function couplings with the  $\phi$ 's. The latter are determined by HS Ward identities, hence the above expression "knows" about HS symmetry.

- It is challenging to reproduce this result holographically, not least because the usual Witten graphs give zero for bulk singletons - see however [R. G. LEIGH ET. AL. (14)].



- The simple expression

$$\frac{1}{N} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \right)$$

packages efficiently the contributions of an infinite number of even-spin HS currents, the normalization of their 2-pt functions and their 3-pt function couplings with the  $\phi$ 's. The latter are determined by HS Ward identities, hence the above expression "knows" about HS symmetry.

- It is challenging to reproduce this result holographically, not least because the usual Witten graphs give zero for bulk singletons - see however [R. G. LEIGH ET. AL. (14)].

- Going on, we find the OPE of  $\Phi_A$  as

$$\Phi_A(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi J}^2}{C_J} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y [1 + \dots]$$

that gives the leading contribution of a spin-1 conserved current  $J$ .

- We need to compare this with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(v, Y) &= \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \Delta_\phi v^{\Delta_\phi} Y [1 + \dots]\end{aligned}$$

- Using the Ward identity for  $g_{\phi\phi J}$  we obtain

$$g_{\phi\phi J} = \frac{1}{S_d}, \quad C_J = \frac{2}{(d-2)S_d^2}$$

- Going on, we find the OPE of  $\Phi_A$  as

$$\Phi_A(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi J}^2}{C_J} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y [1 + \dots]$$

that gives the leading contribution of a spin-1 conserved current  $J$ .

- We need to compare this with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(v, Y) &= \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \Delta_\phi v^{\Delta_\phi} Y [1 + \dots]\end{aligned}$$

- Using the Ward identity for  $g_{\phi\phi J}$  we obtain

$$g_{\phi\phi J} = \frac{1}{S_d}, \quad C_J = \frac{2}{(d-2)S_d^2}$$

- Going on, we find the OPE of  $\Phi_A$  as

$$\Phi_A(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \frac{g_{\phi\phi J}^2}{C_J} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y [1 + \dots]$$

that gives the leading contribution of a spin-1 conserved current  $J$ .

- We need to compare this with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(v, Y) &= \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \Delta_\phi v^{\Delta_\phi} Y [1 + \dots]\end{aligned}$$

- Using the Ward identity for  $g_{\phi\phi J}$  we obtain

$$g_{\phi\phi J} = \frac{1}{S_d}, \quad C_J = \frac{2}{(d-2)S_d^2}$$

- For  $\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}$  we have the free field theory result.

$$\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi} x_{34}^{2\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{N} v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \right]$$

- The "direct channel" OPE  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  gives the expected contribution of the infinite series of even-spin HSs.
- More interesting are the "crossed channels" i.e. we consider here  $x_{13}^2, x_{24}^2 \Rightarrow$  when the OPE gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi + \Delta}{2}}} \left[ g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta; 1-v \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}^2}{C_F} \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{\frac{\Delta_F}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{\Delta_F}{2}, \frac{\Delta_F}{2}; \Delta_F; 1-v \right) + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $(v/u), (1-v) \rightarrow 0$ .

- For  $\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}$  we have the free field theory result.

$$\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi} x_{34}^{2\Delta}} \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{N} v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \right]$$

- The "direct channel" OPE  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  gives the expected contribution of the infinite series of even-spin HSs.
- More interesting are the "crossed channels" i.e. we consider here  $x_{13}^2, x_{24}^2 \Rightarrow$  when the OPE gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi + \Delta}{2}}} \left[ g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta; 1-v \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}^2}{C_F} \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{\frac{\Delta_F}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{\Delta_F}{2}, \frac{\Delta_F}{2}; \Delta_F; 1-v \right) + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $(v/u), (1-v) \rightarrow 0$ .

- For  $\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}$  we have the free field theory result.

$$\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_\phi} x_{34}^{2\Delta_\phi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{N} v^{\Delta_\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_\phi}} \right) \right]$$

- The "direct channel" OPE  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  gives the expected contribution of the infinite series of even-spin HSs.
- More interesting are the "crossed channels" i.e. we consider here  $x_{13}^2, x_{24}^2 \Rightarrow$  when the OPE gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi + \Delta}{2}}} \left[ g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta; 1-v \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}^2}{C_F} \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{\frac{\Delta_F}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{\Delta_F}{2}, \frac{\Delta_F}{2}; \Delta_F; 1-v \right) + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $(v/u), (1-v) \rightarrow 0$ .

- The free field theory result is expanded as

$$\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi + \Delta}{2}}} \left[ \frac{2}{N} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{2}{N}\right) \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{3\Delta_\phi}{2}} + \dots \right]$$

- This is compatible with the presence of an operator of the form

$$F^a(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 + 2N}} \phi^a(x) \phi^2(x), \quad C_F = 1, \quad g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}^2 = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$$

- Important to keep that the elementary field  $\phi^a$  does appear in the OPE, and hence in the spectrum.



- The free field theory result is expanded as

$$\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi + \Delta}{2}}} \left[ \frac{2}{N} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{2}{N}\right) \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{3\Delta_\phi}{2}} + \dots \right]$$

- This is compatible with the presence of an operator of the form

$$F^a(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 + 2N}} \phi^a(x) \phi^2(x), \quad C_F = 1, \quad g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}^2 = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$$

- Important to keep that the elementary field  $\phi^a$  does appear in the OPE, and hence in the spectrum.

- The free field theory result is expanded as

$$\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{(x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi + \Delta}{2}}} \left[ \frac{2}{N} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_\phi}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{2}{N}\right) \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{3\Delta_\phi}{2}} + \dots \right]$$

- This is compatible with the presence of an operator of the form

$$F^a(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 + 2N}} \phi^a(x) \phi^2(x), \quad C_F = 1, \quad g_{\phi\mathcal{O}F}^2 = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$$

- Important to keep that the elementary field  $\phi^a$  does appear in the OPE, and hence in the spectrum.

- Finally we consider  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  whose free field expression is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{N} \left\{ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right) + v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right\} \right]\end{aligned}$$

- We notice the contribution of the even-spin HS currents.
- The disconnected graphs give the contribution of the form

$$v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} = v^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_s - s}$$

- For  $s = 0$  this corresponds to a scalar with  $\Delta = 4\Delta_{\phi}$  i.e.  $(\phi^2)^2$ .
- For  $s \neq 0$  these correspond to twist  $t = 2$  HS operators with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s + t$ . Notice that all higher-twist operators have been cancelled.

- Finally we consider  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  whose free field expression is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{N} \left\{ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right) + v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right\} \right]\end{aligned}$$

- We notice the contribution of the even-spin HS currents.
- The disconnected graphs give the contribution of the form

$$v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} = v^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_s - s}$$

- For  $s = 0$  this corresponds to a scalar with  $\Delta = 4\Delta_{\phi}$  i.e.  $(\phi^2)^2$ .
- For  $s \neq 0$  these correspond to twist  $t = 2$  HS operators with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s + t$ . Notice that all higher-twist operators have been cancelled.

- Finally we consider  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  whose free field expression is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{N} \left\{ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right) + v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right\} \right]\end{aligned}$$

- We notice the contribution of the even-spin HS currents.
- The disconnected graphs give the contribution of the form

$$v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} = v^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_s - s}$$

- For  $s = 0$  this corresponds to a scalar with  $\Delta = 4\Delta_{\phi}$  i.e.  $(\phi^2)^2$ .
- For  $s \neq 0$  these correspond to twist  $t = 2$  HS operators with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s + t$ . Notice that all higher-twist operators have been cancelled.

- Finally we consider  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  whose free field expression is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{N} \left\{ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right) + v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right\} \right]\end{aligned}$$

- We notice the contribution of the even-spin HS currents.
- The disconnected graphs give the contribution of the form

$$v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} = v^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_s - s}$$

- For  $s = 0$  this corresponds to a scalar with  $\Delta = 4\Delta_{\phi}$  i.e.  $(\phi^2)^2$ .
- For  $s \neq 0$  these correspond to twist  $t = 2$  HS operators with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s + t$ . Notice that all higher-twist operators have been cancelled.

- Finally we consider  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  whose free field expression is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}(v, Y) = & \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta}} \left[ 1 + v^{\Delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{N} \left\{ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right) + v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{\Delta_{\phi}}} \right\} \right]\end{aligned}$$

- We notice the contribution of the even-spin HS currents.
- The disconnected graphs give the contribution of the form

$$v^{2\Delta_{\phi}} = v^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_s - s}$$

- For  $s = 0$  this corresponds to a scalar with  $\Delta = 4\Delta_{\phi}$  i.e.  $(\phi^2)^2$ .
- For  $s \neq 0$  these correspond to twist  $t = 2$  HS operators with  $\Delta_s = d - 2 + s + t$ . Notice that all higher-twist operators have been cancelled.

- 1 Motivations
- 2 The bosonic  $O(N)$  vector model as a CFT
  - Setup
  - The free field theory
  - The skeleton graphs
- 3 Summary and outlook



- To deform the free theory we use an expansion in "skeleton graphs" built using just three ingredients: the (unit normalised) 2-pt functions of the operators  $\phi^a(x)$ ,  $O(x)$  (with dimension  $\tilde{\Delta}$ ) and the 3-pt function

$$\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) O(x_3) \rangle = g_* \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}} \delta^{ab}.$$

- The parameters  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and  $g_*$ , as well as all other parameters (i.e. coupling and scaling dimensions) will be determined by studying the consistency of the skeleton expansion with the OPE.
- We also need to "amputate" using the inverse 2-pt functions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{ab} \Gamma(x_1, x_2, x) &\equiv \int d^d x_3 \langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) O(x_3) \rangle \langle O(x_3) O(x) \rangle^{-1} \\ &= g_* \frac{f(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d)}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{d - \tilde{\Delta}}{2}}} \delta^{ab} \end{aligned}$$

with  $x$  the internal point of a graph, and  $f(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d)$  are ratio's of  $\Gamma$ -functions.

## Aspects of the OPE in $O(N)$ vector models

- To deform the free theory we use an expansion in "skeleton graphs" built using just three ingredients: the (unit normalised) 2-pt functions of the operators  $\phi^a(x)$ ,  $O(x)$  (with dimension  $\tilde{\Delta}$ ) and the 3-pt function

$$\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) O(x_3) \rangle = g_* \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}} \delta^{ab}.$$

- The parameters  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and  $g_*$ , as well as all other parameters (i.e. coupling and scaling dimensions) will be determined by studying the consistency of the skeleton expansion with the OPE.
- We also need to "amputate" using the inverse 2-pt functions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{ab} \Gamma(x_1, x_2, x) &\equiv \int d^d x_3 \langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) O(x_3) \rangle \langle O(x_3) O(x) \rangle^{-1} \\ &= g_* \frac{f(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d)}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{d - \tilde{\Delta}}{2}}} \delta^{ab} \end{aligned}$$

with  $x$  the internal point of a graph, and  $f(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d)$  are ratio's of  $\Gamma$ -functions.

- To deform the free theory we use an expansion in "skeleton graphs" built using just three ingredients: the (unit normalised) 2-pt functions of the operators  $\phi^a(x)$ ,  $O(x)$  (with dimension  $\tilde{\Delta}$ ) and the 3-pt function

$$\langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) O(x_3) \rangle = g_* \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}} \delta^{ab}.$$

- The parameters  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and  $g_*$ , as well as all other parameters (i.e. coupling and scaling dimensions) will be determined by studying the consistency of the skeleton expansion with the OPE.
- We also need to "amputate" using the inverse 2-pt functions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{ab} \Gamma(x_1, x_2, x) &\equiv \int d^d x_3 \langle \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) O(x_3) \rangle \langle O(x_3) O(x) \rangle^{-1} \\ &= g_* \frac{f(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d)}{(x_{12}^2)^{\Delta_\phi - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)^{\frac{d - \tilde{\Delta}}{2}}} \delta^{ab} \end{aligned}$$

with  $x$  the internal point of a graph, and  $f(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d)$  are ratio's of  $\Gamma$ -functions.

## Aspects of the OPE in $O(N)$ vector models

- This construction is an important simplification compared to the usual  $1/N$  diagrammatic expansion of the vector model: the full vertices and 2-pt functions are used.
- The skeleton expansion for  $\Phi_S$  will involve tree-exchange graphs with a single  $O(x)$  internal line, ladder graphs with internal  $O(x)$  and  $\phi^a(x)$  lines etc...
- The leading exchange graph in the direct channel  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  yields the remarkable formula

$$g_*^2 F(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d) \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) + C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) \mathcal{H}_{d-\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) \right]$$
$$C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta})}{\Gamma(d - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2})}$$

This is remarkable since it corresponds to the CPWs of *both* the operator  $O(x)$  but also its shadow operator with dimension  $d - \tilde{\Delta}$ .

It can be shown that the presence of the shadow term is necessary for the graph to be analytic under a crossing transformation i.e.  $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3$ .

## Aspects of the OPE in $O(N)$ vector models

- This construction is an important simplification compared to the usual  $1/N$  diagrammatic expansion of the vector model: the full vertices and 2-pt functions are used.
- The skeleton expansion for  $\Phi_S$  will involve tree-exchange graphs with a single  $O(x)$  internal line, ladder graphs with internal  $O(x)$  and  $\phi^a(x)$  lines etc...
- The leading exchange graph in the direct channel  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  yields the remarkable formula

$$g_*^2 F(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d) \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) + C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) \mathcal{H}_{d-\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) \right]$$
$$C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta})}{\Gamma(d - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2})}$$

This is remarkable since it corresponds to the CPWs of *both* the operator  $O(x)$  but also its shadow operator with dimension  $d - \tilde{\Delta}$ .

It can be shown that the presence of the shadow term is necessary for the graph to be analytic under a crossing transformation i.e.  $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3$ .

## Aspects of the OPE in $O(N)$ vector models

- This construction is an important simplification compared to the usual  $1/N$  diagrammatic expansion of the vector model: the full vertices and 2-pt functions are used.
- The skeleton expansion for  $\Phi_S$  will involve tree-exchange graphs with a single  $O(x)$  internal line, ladder graphs with internal  $O(x)$  and  $\phi^a(x)$  lines etc...
- The leading exchange graph in the direct channel  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  yields the remarkable formula

$$g_*^2 F(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d) \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) + C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) \mathcal{H}_{d-\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) \right]$$
$$C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta})}{\Gamma(d - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2})}$$

This is remarkable since it corresponds to the CPWs of *both* the operator  $O(x)$  but also its shadow operator with dimension  $d - \tilde{\Delta}$ .

It can be shown that the presence of the shadow term is necessary for the graph to be analytic under a crossing transformation i.e.  $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3$ .

## Aspects of the OPE in $O(N)$ vector models

- This construction is an important simplification compared to the usual  $1/N$  diagrammatic expansion of the vector model: the full vertices and 2-pt functions are used.
- The skeleton expansion for  $\Phi_S$  will involve tree-exchange graphs with a single  $O(x)$  internal line, ladder graphs with internal  $O(x)$  and  $\phi^a(x)$  lines etc...
- The leading exchange graph in the direct channel  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  yields the remarkable formula

$$g_*^2 F(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d) \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) + C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) \mathcal{H}_{d-\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) \right]$$
$$C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta})}{\Gamma(d - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2})}$$

This is remarkable since it corresponds to the CPWs of *both* the operator  $O(x)$  but also its shadow operator with dimension  $d - \tilde{\Delta}$ .

It can be shown that the presence of the shadow term is necessary for the graph to be analytic under a crossing transformation i.e.  $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3$ .

## Aspects of the OPE in $O(N)$ vector models

- This construction is an important simplification compared to the usual  $1/N$  diagrammatic expansion of the vector model: the full vertices and 2-pt functions are used.
- The skeleton expansion for  $\Phi_S$  will involve tree-exchange graphs with a single  $O(x)$  internal line, ladder graphs with internal  $O(x)$  and  $\phi^a(x)$  lines etc...
- The leading exchange graph in the direct channel  $x_{12}^2, x_{34}^2 \Rightarrow 0$  yields the remarkable formula

$$g_*^2 F(\Delta_\phi, \tilde{\Delta}, d) \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) + C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) \mathcal{H}_{d-\tilde{\Delta}}(v, Y) \right]$$
$$C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta})}{\Gamma(d - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \tilde{\Delta}) \Gamma^4(\frac{d}{2})}$$

This is remarkable since it corresponds to the CPWs of *both* the operator  $O(x)$  but also its shadow operator with dimension  $d - \tilde{\Delta}$ .

It can be shown that the presence of the shadow term is necessary for the graph to be analytic under a crossing transformation i.e.  $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3$ .



- A given skeleton graph with  $2n$  vertices has the shadow symmetry property

$$G(v, Y; \Delta) = [C(d, d - \Delta)]^n G(v, Y; d - \Delta)$$

- It is believed that the above property is related to the analyticity of the graph under crossing. Then, the full crossing symmetric 4-pt function can be obtained by adding to the direct channel the crossed terms.
- The crossed, box (and possibly all higher order) evaluate to the generic form

$$G(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} v^{\Delta_\phi} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n Y^m}{n!m!} [-a_{nm} \ln v + b_{nm}]$$

- A given skeleton graph with  $2n$  vertices has the shadow symmetry property

$$G(v, Y; \Delta) = [C(d, d - \Delta)]^n G(v, Y; d - \Delta)$$

- It is believed that the above property is related to the analyticity of the graph under crossing. Then, the full crossing symmetric 4-pt function can be obtained by adding to the direct channel the crossed terms.
- The crossed, box (and possibly all higher order) evaluate to the generic form

$$G(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} v^{\Delta_\phi} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n Y^m}{n!m!} [-a_{nm} \ln v + b_{nm}]$$

- A given skeleton graph with  $2n$  vertices has the shadow symmetry property

$$G(v, Y; \Delta) = [C(d, d - \Delta)]^n G(v, Y; d - \Delta)$$

- It is believed that the above property is related to the analyticity of the graph under crossing. Then, the full crossing symmetric 4-pt function can be obtained by adding to the direct channel the crossed terms.
- The crossed, box (and possibly all higher order) evaluate to the generic form

$$G(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} v^{\Delta_\phi} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n Y^m}{n!m!} [-a_{nm} \ln v + b_{nm}]$$

### Examples:

- Modifying the free result for  $\Phi_S(v, Y)$  by the  $\mathcal{O}$ -exchange graph would imply the presence of three scalar operators with dimensions  $< d!$  To avoid that, we choose to cancel the free operator with  $\Delta = 2\Delta_\phi$  with one of the two terms in the exchange graph. In fact,  $C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) < 0$  for  $\underline{2 < d < 6}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta} < d$ . This way we fix  $g_*^2 \sim O(1/N)$  and also  $d - \tilde{\Delta} = 2\Delta_\phi \Rightarrow \tilde{\Delta} = 2$ .
- Modifying  $\Phi_A(v, Y)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(v, Y) &= \Delta_\phi v^{\Delta_\phi} Y[1 + ..] + g_*^2 v^{\Delta_\phi} Y[-A_{00} \ln v + B_{00} + ..] \\ &= \frac{g_J^2}{C_J} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y[1 + ..]\end{aligned}$$

- We need to kill the  $\ln v$  terms in the first line, which is done if we assume that

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{N} \gamma_\phi, \Rightarrow \gamma_\phi = \frac{2\Gamma(d-2)}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(1-\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}-2)}$$

### Examples:

- Modifying the free result for  $\Phi_S(v, Y)$  by the  $\mathcal{O}$ -exchange graph would imply the presence of three scalar operators with dimensions  $< d!$  To avoid that, we choose to cancel the free operator with  $\Delta = 2\Delta_\phi$  with one of the two terms in the exchange graph. In fact,  $C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) < 0$  for  $\underline{2 < d < 6}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta} < d$ . This way we fix  $g_*^2 \sim O(1/N)$  and also  $d - \tilde{\Delta} = 2\Delta_\phi \Rightarrow \tilde{\Delta} = 2$ .
- Modifying  $\Phi_A(v, Y)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(v, Y) &= \Delta_\phi v^{\Delta_\phi} Y[1 + ..] + g_*^2 v^{\Delta_\phi} Y[-A_{00} \ln v + B_{00} + ..] \\ &= \frac{g_J^2}{C_J} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y[1 + ..]\end{aligned}$$

- We need to kill the  $\ln v$  terms in the first line, which is done if we assume that

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{N} \gamma_\phi, \Rightarrow \gamma_\phi = \frac{2\Gamma(d-2)}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(1-\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}-2)}$$

### Examples:

- Modifying the free result for  $\Phi_S(v, Y)$  by the  $\mathcal{O}$ -exchange graph would imply the presence of three scalar operators with dimensions  $< d!$  To avoid that, we choose to cancel the free operator with  $\Delta = 2\Delta_\phi$  with one of the two terms in the exchange graph. In fact,  $C(d, \tilde{\Delta}) < 0$  for  $\underline{2 < d < 6}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta} < d$ . This way we fix  $g_*^2 \sim O(1/N)$  and also  $d - \tilde{\Delta} = 2\Delta_\phi \Rightarrow \tilde{\Delta} = 2$ .
- Modifying  $\Phi_A(v, Y)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(v, Y) &= \Delta_\phi v^{\Delta_\phi} Y[1 + ..] + g_*^2 v^{\Delta_\phi} Y[-A_{00} \ln v + B_{00} + ..] \\ &= \frac{g_J^2}{C_J} v^{\frac{d}{2}-1} Y[1 + ..]\end{aligned}$$

- We need to kill the  $\ln v$  terms in the first line, which is done if we assume that

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{N} \gamma_\phi, \Rightarrow \gamma_\phi = \frac{2\Gamma(d-2)}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(1-\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}-2)}$$

- For  $\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y)$  we need exchange graphs involving the elementary scalar  $\phi^a$ . These give *both* the CPW of  $\phi^a$  but also of its shadow with  $\Delta = 5/2$ . One would also think that both contributions are  $O(1/N)$ . Quite remarkably, the latter contribution is singular, needs to be regularised and eventually gives rise to a  $O(1)$  term in the 4-pt function.

$$C(d, \Delta_\phi) \rightarrow -\frac{N(\frac{d}{2} - 2)^2}{\gamma_\phi \frac{d}{2}(\frac{d}{2} - 1)} = -\frac{1}{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2} \Rightarrow g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 C(d, \Delta_\phi) = -1 + O(1/N)$$

- This is necessary to correctly match with the OPE and make sure that the  $\Delta = 5/2$  operator does not appear!
- This should be the boundary counterpart of the impossibility to quantize bulk singletons with standard Dirichlet boundary conditions: only the alternative quantization appears to give a positive measure.

- For  $\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y)$  we need exchange graphs involving the elementary scalar  $\phi^a$ . These give *both* the CPW of  $\phi^a$  but also of its shadow with  $\Delta = 5/2$ . One would also think that both contributions are  $O(1/N)$ . Quite remarkably, the latter contribution is singular, needs to be regularised and eventually gives rise to a  $O(1)$  term in the 4-pt function.

$$C(d, \Delta_\phi) \rightarrow -\frac{N(\frac{d}{2} - 2)^2}{\gamma_\phi \frac{d}{2}(\frac{d}{2} - 1)} = -\frac{1}{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2} \Rightarrow g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 C(d, \Delta_\phi) = -1 + O(1/N)$$

- This is necessary to correctly match with the OPE and make sure that the  $\Delta = 5/2$  operator does not appear!
- This should be the boundary counterpart of the impossibility to quantize bulk singletons with standard Dirichlet boundary conditions: only the alternative quantization appears to give a positive measure.



- For  $\Phi_{\phi\mathcal{O}}(v, Y)$  we need exchange graphs involving the elementary scalar  $\phi^a$ . These give *both* the CPW of  $\phi^a$  but also of its shadow with  $\Delta = 5/2$ . One would also think that both contributions are  $O(1/N)$ . Quite remarkably, the latter contribution is singular, needs to be regularised and eventually gives rise to a  $O(1)$  term in the 4-pt function.

$$C(d, \Delta_\phi) \rightarrow -\frac{N(\frac{d}{2} - 2)^2}{\gamma_\phi \frac{d}{2}(\frac{d}{2} - 1)} = -\frac{1}{g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2} \Rightarrow g_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 C(d, \Delta_\phi) = -1 + O(1/N)$$

- This is necessary to correctly match with the OPE and make sure that the  $\Delta = 5/2$  operator does not appear!
- This should be the boundary counterpart of the impossibility to quantize bulk singletons with standard Dirichlet boundary conditions: only the alternative quantization appears to give a positive measure.

The issue with AdS graphs:

- A scalar field exchange graph in AdS in the direct channel gives

$$\frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_\Delta(v, Y) + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n Y^m}{n!m!} [-a_{nm} \ln v + b_{nm}] \right]$$

namely, the shadow contribution is missing.

- Nevertheless, one can show [L. HOFFMANN, W. RUHL AND A. C. P. (00)] that such a graph is still analytic under a crossing transformation. This is due to some highly non-trivial Kummer-like relationships for  ${}_3F_2$  functions!
- Also notice that there are no box and papillon graphs in the AdS description of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Hence, it is necessary to consider the bulk vertices of all HS gauge fields. This was not necessary in the field theory description, hence the single  $\phi\phi\mathcal{O}$  vertex was sufficient.

The issue with AdS graphs:

- A scalar field exchange graph in AdS in the direct channel gives

$$\frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_\Delta(v, Y) + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n Y^m}{n!m!} [-a_{nm} \ln v + b_{nm}] \right]$$

namely, the shadow contribution is missing.

- Nevertheless, one can show [L. HOFFMANN, W. RUHL AND A. C. P. (00)] that such a graph is still analytic under a crossing transformation. This is due to some highly non-trivial Kummer-like relationships for  ${}_3F_2$  functions!
- Also notice that there are no box and papillon graphs in the AdS description of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Hence, it is necessary to consider the bulk vertices of all HS gauge fields. This was not necessary in the field theory description, hence the single  $\phi\phi\mathcal{O}$  vertex was sufficient.

The issue with AdS graphs:

- A scalar field exchange graph in AdS in the direct channel gives

$$\frac{1}{(x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2)^{\Delta_\phi}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_\Delta(v, Y) + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n Y^m}{n!m!} [-a_{nm} \ln v + b_{nm}] \right]$$

namely, the shadow contribution is missing.

- Nevertheless, one can show [L. HOFFMANN, W. RUHL AND A. C. P. (00)] that such a graph is still analytic under a crossing transformation. This is due to some highly non-trivial Kummer-like relationships for  ${}_3F_2$  functions!
- Also notice that there are no box and papillon graphs in the AdS description of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Hence, it is necessary to consider the bulk vertices of all HS gauge fields. This was not necessary in the field theory description, hence the single  $\phi\phi\mathcal{O}$  vertex was sufficient.

- OPE techniques combined with the conformal bootstrap are currently the only known approach to study CFTs that include higher-spin currents. There are technical issues but they are not unsurmountable.
- The above approach has been used [A. C. P. (96)] in a fermionic model. It can be used for Chern-Simons and  $CP^{N-1}$  models.
- Our approach is currently the only known method to calculate the  $1/N$  corrections to the anomalous dimensions and central charges of all HS currents. Up to now this has been done only in a few cases.
- It is interesting to study further the connection between shadow symmetry and analyticity.

- OPE techniques combined with the conformal bootstrap are currently the only known approach to study CFTs that include higher-spin currents. There are technical issues but they are not unsurmountable.
- The above approach has been used [A. C. P. (96)] in a fermionic model. It can be used for Chern-Simons and  $CP^{N-1}$  models.
- Our approach is currently the only known method to calculate the  $1/N$  corrections to the anomalous dimensions and central charges of all HS currents. Up to now this has been done only in a few cases.
- It is interesting to study further the connection between shadow symmetry and analyticity.

- OPE techniques combined with the conformal bootstrap are currently the only known approach to study CFTs that include higher-spin currents. There are technical issues but they are not unsurmountable.
- The above approach has been used [A. C. P. (96)] in a fermionic model. It can be used for Chern-Simons and  $CP^{N-1}$  models.
- Our approach is currently the only known method to calculate the  $1/N$  corrections to the anomalous dimensions and central charges of all HS currents. Up to now this has been done only in a few cases.
- It is interesting to study further the connection between shadow symmetry and analyticity.

- OPE techniques combined with the conformal bootstrap are currently the only known approach to study CFTs that include higher-spin currents. There are technical issues but they are not unsurmountable.
- The above approach has been used [A. C. P. (96)] in a fermionic model. It can be used for Chern-Simons and  $CP^{N-1}$  models.
- Our approach is currently the only known method to calculate the  $1/N$  corrections to the anomalous dimensions and central charges of all HS currents. Up to now this has been done only in a few cases.
- It is interesting to study further the connection between shadow symmetry and analyticity.



- It is a formidable task to compare boundary skeleton expansion and the bulk Witten graphs, although they arguably describe the same theory.

Hint:

Boundary skeleton graphs do not have HS exchanges: I can build a HS theory using a single scalar vertex. But they have shadow-symmetry properties, and this is the part "speaking" to HS coming from the free theory.

Bulk graphs do not have shadow-symmetry: but to build the theory one would need all HS exchanges. Namely, they include the "free part" that was actually "cancelled" by the shadow term in the skeleton graphs.