Perturbative analysis in higher-spin theories: physical sector

(with V.E. Didenko and M.A. Vasiliev)

Nikita Misuna

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Lebedev Physical Institute

Higher-Spin Theory and Holography-5, Moscow

01.12.2016



Outline

- Vasiliev equations
- Homotopy trick
- Twisted derivative
- Adjoint derivative

Vasiliev equations

• Nonlinear HS equations for $W\left(x|Z^A,Y^A|k,\bar{k}|dx,\theta^A\right)$ and $B\left(x|Z^A,Y^A|k,\bar{k}\right)$:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}_X W + W * W &= -i\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta^\alpha \left(1 + \eta B * \varkappa k \right) - i\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \wedge \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \left(1 + \bar{\eta} B * \bar{\varkappa} \bar{k} \right), \\ \mathrm{d}_X B + W * B - B * W &= 0. \end{split}$$

• Evolution on auxiliary variables Z, θ encodes infinite number of HS vertices. Resolving it, one will get equations in physical sector

$$\mathrm{d}_{X}\omega = \mathcal{V}\left(\omega,\omega\right) + \mathcal{V}\left(\omega,\omega,C\right) + \mathcal{V}\left(\omega,\omega,C,C\right) + \dots$$

$$d_X C = V(\omega, C) + V(\omega, C, C) + V(\omega, C, C, C) + ...$$

where $\omega\left(Y\right)=W\left(Z=0,\theta=0\right)$ describes all gauge-noninvariant d.o.f. of HS multiplet, while $C\left(Y\right)=B\left(Z=0\right)$ – all gauge-invariant ones.

Perturbative analysis

AdS₄ vacuum solution is provided by

$$B_0 = 0, \qquad W_0 = \phi_{AdS} + Z_A \theta^A,$$

where ϕ_{AdS} - space-time 1-form of AdS_4 -connection

$$\phi_{AdS} = -\frac{i}{4}\phi^{AB}Y_AY_B = -\frac{i}{4}\left(\omega^{AB} + h^{AB}\right)Y_AY_B,$$

 While expanding Vasiliev equations over this vacuum two types of perturbative equations arise, in the adjoint and twisted adjoint sectors,

$$\Delta_{ad}f := -2i\mathrm{d}_{Z}f + \mathcal{D}_{ad}f = J,$$

$$\Delta_{tw} f := -2i \mathrm{d}_Z f + \mathcal{D}_{tw} f = J,$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}_Z := \theta^A \tfrac{\partial}{\partial Z^A},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad} := \mathrm{d}_X + \left[\phi_{AdS}, \bullet\right]_*, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{tw} := \mathrm{d}_X - \frac{i}{4} \left[\omega^{AB} Y_A Y_B, \bullet\right]_* - \frac{i}{4} \left\{h^{AB} Y_A Y_B, \bullet\right\}_*$$



Homotopy trick

• Consider some nilpotent operator $d,\ d^2=0.$ If there is a homotopy operator $\partial,\ \partial^2=0,$ such that

$$A := \{d, \partial\}$$

is diagonalizable, then

$$H(d) \subset KerA$$
.

• This allows to write down a resolution of identity

$$\{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^*\}+\hat{h}=Id.$$

where \hat{h} is a projector to KerA and

$$d^* := \partial A^*$$
,

$$A^*A = AA^* = Id - \hat{h}$$

Then

$$df = J \implies f = d^*J + d\epsilon + g$$

where $g \in H(d)$.

Homotopy trick: de Rham

For

$$d = \theta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} .$$

in trivial topology one can set

$$\partial = Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^A} \, .$$

This gives

$$A = \theta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^A} + Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A},$$

$$\hat{h}J(Z;\theta)=J(0;0),$$

$$\mathrm{d}^{*}J(Z;\theta)=Z^{A}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{A}}\int\limits_{0}^{1}dt\frac{1}{t}J(tZ;t\theta)\,.$$

Z-sector

Adjoint sector:

$$\begin{split} & \Delta_{ad}^* J = -\frac{1}{2i} Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^A} \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{1}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{1-t}{2t} \phi^{BC} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^B \partial \theta^C}\right) J(tZ;Y;t\theta)\,, \\ & \mathcal{H}_{ad} J(Z,Y,\theta) = \hat{h} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \phi^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial \theta^B}\right) J(Z;Y;\theta)\,. \end{split}$$

Twisted-adjoint sector

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\text{tw}}^* J & := & -\frac{1}{2i} Z^C \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^C} \int\limits_0^1 dt \frac{1}{t} \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{8} \left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right)^2 \omega^{AB} h_A{}^C \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^B \partial \theta^C} + i \frac{1-t}{2t} h^{AB} Y_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^B}\right\} \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1-t}{2t} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial \theta^B} + \frac{1-t^2}{4t^2} h^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A \partial \theta^B}\right\} J (tZ; Y; t\theta) \,. \\ \mathscr{H}_{\text{tw}} J & := & \hat{h} \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{8} \omega^{AB} h_A{}^C \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^B \partial \theta^C} + \frac{i}{2} h^{AB} Y_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^B}\right\} \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial \theta^B} + \frac{1}{4} h^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^A \partial \theta^B}\right\} J (Z; Y; \theta) \,. \end{split}$$

Physical sector

Now one applies resolutions of identity to HS equations in Z-sector

$$\Delta_{ad}f\left(Z,Y\right) =J\left(Z,Y\right) ,$$

$$\Delta_{tw} f(Z, Y) = J(Z, Y),$$

resolving all dependence on auxiliary Z, θ -variables.

 After projection onto Z-cohomology one arrives at the equations, describing HS dynamics

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad}f\left(Y\right) =J\left(Y\right) ,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw}f\left(Y\right) =J\left(Y\right) .$$

with all functions being space-time forms.

• Can we find a resolution of identity for \mathcal{D}_{ad} and \mathcal{D}_{tw} ?

Twisted derivative acts on functions of Y as

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw} = \mathcal{D}_L - \frac{i}{2} h^{AB} Y_A Y_B + \frac{i}{2} h^{AB} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial Y^B},$$

where $\mathcal{D}_L=\mathrm{d}_X+\omega^{AB}Y_A\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^B}$ - Lorentz-covariant derivative.

Key observation is that we can take as homotopy operator

$$\partial = -2iY^AY^B\nabla_{AB},$$

where $\nabla_{AB}:=rac{\partial}{\partial h^{AB}}$. It's nilpotent and obeys

$$\left\{-\frac{i}{2}h^{AB}Y_{A}Y_{B},\partial\right\}=0.$$

Also

$$\{\mathcal{D}_L,\partial\}=0$$

- if acting on Lorentz tensors. So we request r.h.s. of HS equations to not contain Lorentz connection (see Slava's talk).

Finally one finds

$$A := \{ \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{tw}}, \partial \} = h^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} + y^{\alpha} \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}}} + h^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \bar{y}^{\dot{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\gamma}} + h^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} y^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \nabla_{\dot{\beta} \gamma}.$$

It acts non-diagonally in terms of Y, h-powers due to the last two terms. So one needs more subtle decomposition of the module.

 Proper decomposition is realised through the following representation of functions

$$X = \bigoplus G\left(h, y, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\bar{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}\right) F\left(y, \bar{y}\right)$$

where in G all h-dependence is extracted in an irreducible way (i.e. Y and $\partial/\partial Y$ are contracted only with h).

Decomposition

$$X = \bigoplus G\left(h, y, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\bar{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}\right) F\left(y, \bar{y}\right)$$

is natural for HS equations, where F contains all dependence on HS fluctuations $C\left(Y\right),\;\omega\left(Y\right)$

• All possible G can be enumerated. First, we introduce basis forms built of $h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$:

1,
$$h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$$
, $H^{\alpha\beta}$, $H^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, $H^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, H^4 .

Then possible G are

 $1,\ h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}y_{\alpha}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}},\ h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}y_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}},\ h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}},\ h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}},\ H^{\alpha\beta}y_{\alpha}y_{\beta},\ H^{\alpha\beta}y_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta},\ H^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta},...$ and so on.



All relevant information about $X=G\left(h,y,\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\bar{y},\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{y}}\right)F\left(y,\bar{y}\right)$ is encoded in

- $h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}X = r \cdot X$
- $y^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}} X = N \cdot X$
- n number of y in G
- m number of $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ in G

(and analogously \bar{N} , \bar{n} , \bar{m}).

In this terms $A = \{\mathcal{D}_{tw}, \partial\}$ can be written as

$$AX = \frac{1}{4} (2N + r - \bar{n} + \bar{m}) (2\bar{N} + r - n + m) X -$$
$$- (\frac{1}{4} (r - n + m) (r - \bar{n} + \bar{m}) + r - n (1 + m) - \bar{n} (1 + \bar{m})) X$$

Direct calculation shows that expression in brackets in 2nd string iz zero for all ${\it G}$ except

$$h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}y_{\alpha}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}, \qquad H^{\alpha\beta}y_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta} \text{ (and c.c.)}, \qquad H^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\dot{\beta}},$$

for which it is 1.

Equation AX=0 points on cohomology of twisted representation. They are hiding somewhere in:

- $F(y), F(\bar{y}),$
- $h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}y_{\alpha}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}$,
- $h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}y_{\alpha}F_{\dot{\beta}}(y)$, $h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}F_{\alpha}(\bar{y})$
- $H^{\alpha\beta}y_{\alpha}y_{\beta}F(y)$, $\bar{H}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}F(\bar{y})$.

For all others X, $AX \neq 0$, we can build A^* , inverting A. Then $\mathcal{D}^*_{tw} = \partial A^*$ inverts twisted derivative

• For most of G, except mentioned four ones,

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw}^{*}X = -2iY^{A}Y^{B}\nabla_{AB}\int_{0}^{1}dt\int_{0}^{1}dp\frac{1}{tp}\cdot G\left(\sqrt{tp}h,\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}y,\frac{\sqrt{p}}{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{p}{\sqrt{t}}\bar{y},\frac{\sqrt{t}}{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}\right)F(ty,p\bar{y})$$

• For $G \in \left\{h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}y_{\alpha}\bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad H^{\alpha\beta}y_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta} \text{ (and c.c.)}, \quad H^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\dot{\beta}}\right\}$ there modified Bessel function appears in the measure

$$\mathcal{D}_{tw}^{*}X = -2iY^{A}Y^{B}\nabla_{AB}\int_{0}^{1}dt\int_{0}^{1}dp\frac{1}{tp}I_{0}\left(2\sqrt{\log t \cdot \log p}\right)\cdot G\left(\sqrt{tp}h,\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}y,\frac{\sqrt{p}}{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{p}{\sqrt{t}}\bar{y},\frac{\sqrt{t}}{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}\right)F\left(ty,p\bar{y}\right)$$

Adjoint derivative

Adjoint derivative acts as

$$\mathcal{D}_{ad} = \mathcal{D}_L + h^{AB} Y_A \partial_B = \mathcal{D}_L + h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} y_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}} + h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \partial_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}.$$

In this case there is no unique natural homotopy operator. Instead there are two equally good of them, each breaking sp(4)-symmetry

$$\partial_1 = y^\alpha \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\beta}}, \qquad \partial_2 = \partial^\alpha \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\beta}}.$$

Intersection of $A_1X=0$ and $A_2X=0$ gives all possible cohomology of adjoint representation

- F = const
- $h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}F_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$
- $H^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}(y)$, $\bar{H}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{y})$
- $H^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}F_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$
- H⁴

Adjoint derivative

The same analysis as in twisted case leads to inverse operators \mathcal{D}_1^* and \mathcal{D}_2^* . Like in twisted case, there main and Bessel sectors arise

main sector

$$\mathcal{D}_{1}^{*}X = -y^{\alpha}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\int_{0}^{1}dt\int_{0}^{1}dp\frac{p}{t}G\left(\sqrt{tp}h,\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}y,\frac{\sqrt{p}}{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{p}{\sqrt{t}}\bar{y},\frac{\sqrt{t}}{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{y}}\right)F\left(ty,p\bar{y}\right)$$

• Bessel sector: $G \in \left\{ h^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} y_{\alpha} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad H^{\alpha\beta} y_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} (\text{and c.c.}), \quad H^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}} \right\}$

$$\mathcal{D}_{1}^{*}X = -y^{\alpha}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\int_{0}^{1}dt\int_{0}^{1}dp\frac{p}{t}J_{0}\left(2\sqrt{\log t \cdot \log p}\right)\cdot$$

$$\cdot G\left(\sqrt{tp}h,\frac{t}{\sqrt{p}}y,\frac{\sqrt{p}}{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{p}{\sqrt{t}}\bar{y},\frac{\sqrt{t}}{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{y}}\right)F\left(ty,p\bar{y}\right)$$

Bessel functions

Presented analysis requested inversion of three different type of functions, that was realised using

•
$$\frac{1}{a \cdot b} = \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 dp \frac{1}{t \cdot p} t^a p^b$$

$$\bullet \ \frac{1}{a \cdot b - 1} = \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 dp \frac{1}{t \cdot p} I_0 \left(2 \sqrt{\log t \cdot \log p} \right) t^a p^b$$

$$\bullet \ \frac{1}{a \cdot b + 1} = \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 dp \frac{1}{t \cdot p} J_0 \left(2 \sqrt{\log t \cdot \log p} \right) t^a p^b$$

Conclusion

Using homotopy trick, an inverse operators for Lorentz-covariant equations of nonlinear HS theory were constructed. To be done: general formulas unifying main and Bessel sectors, resolutions of identity, formulas for spectral sequences.