

Deformations of $AdS \times S$ sigma models and 2d dualities

Arkady Tseytlin

B. Hoare, AT 1609.02550

Integrable deformations of $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercoset string models:

- new aspects of string solutions or conformal sigma models
- new integrable σ -models: apply special deformations,
apply 2d dualities (T-duality and its generalizations)
- gauge theory duals? deformations of $N = 4$ SYM?

recently studied examples:

η -model : JJ -type (“Yang-Baxter”) deformation
of supercoset model [Klimcik; Delduc, Magro, Vicedo; Yoshida et al]

$$L = M_{ab}(g; \eta) J_i^a J_i^b, \quad J = g^{-1} dg$$

λ -model : WZW-type deformation of non-abelian dual
of supercoset model [Sfetsos; Hollowood, Miramontes, Schmidtt]

$$L = L_{\text{gWZW}}(g', A) + \lambda AA \rightarrow M'_{ab}(g'; \lambda) J'_i{}^a J'_i{}^b$$

- both classically integrable and κ -symmetric GS actions
- UV-finite (scale invariant) sigma models
- which are Weyl-invariant and define critical string models
i.e. corresponding 10d backgrounds solve IIB sugra eqs?
- models related by kind of 2d duality?
related to $AdS_5 \times S^5$ coset by generalized (non-abelian) T-duality?

η -model backgrounds:

- in general solve “generalized” supergravity equations
- ★ equivalent to scale invariance σ -model conditions

[Arutyunov, Frolov, Hoare, Roiban, AT 15]

- ★ equivalent to κ -symmetry conditions of GS σ -model

[AT, Wulff 16]

Summary:

- ★ **η_0 -model** based on classical YB R-matrix corresponding to to quasi-Frobenius subgroup H of original symmetry group G
 - related to original undeformed model (e.g. $AdS_5 \times S^5$) by non-abelian 2d duality wrt (centrally extended) H (TsT duality in the case of abelian R-matrix) [Hoare, AT 16]
 - is Weyl-invariant, i.e. solves standard sugra eqs if H is unimodular ($f_{ab}^a = 0$) [Borsato, Wulff 16]
- ★ **η_1 -model** based on modified classical YB R-matrix
 - related to Weyl-invariant λ -model by Poisson-Lie duality (generalization of non-abelian duality) [Vicedo 15; Hoare, AT 15; Sfetsos et al 15]
 - is only scale-invariant, i.e. solves generalized sugra eqs [Arutyunov, Frolov, Hoare, Roiban, AT 15]
reason: similar $f_{ab}^a \neq 0$ obstruction as in non-abelian duality

Review: generalized supergravity equations

string σ -model : $\int d^2z \sqrt{g} (g^{ab}G_{mn} + \epsilon^{ab}B_{mn})\partial_a x^m \partial_b x^n$

- **scale invariance** or on-shell UV finiteness: $\int d^2z \sqrt{g} T_a^a = 0$

$$\beta_{mn}^G \equiv R_{mn} - \frac{1}{4}H_{mkl}H_n^{kl} = -\nabla_m X_n - \nabla_n X_m$$

$$\beta_{mn}^B \equiv \frac{1}{2}\nabla^k H_{kmn} = X^k H_{kmn} + \partial_m Y_n - \partial_n Y_m$$

X_m and Y_m : freedom of reparams and B -field gauge transf
drop out on eqs of motion or absorbed in $x^m \rightarrow x^m + X^m \log \epsilon$

- consistency of string theory: decoupling of conf factor of g_{ab}
Weyl invariance: $T_a^a = 0$ (for ghost-free spectrum, etc.)
- scale invariance $\neq 0$ conformal invariance in 2d string context
(non-compact σ -model target; non-unitary time-like direction)
- Weyl inv: requires adding $\int d^2z \sqrt{g} R^{(2)}\phi(x)$
to cancel Weyl anomaly if $X_m = \partial_m \phi$, $Y_m = \partial_m \psi$

Weyl invariance conditions:

$$\bar{\beta}_{mn}^G \equiv R_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} H_{mkl} H_n{}^{kl} + 2 \nabla_m \nabla_n \phi = 0$$

$$\bar{\beta}_{mn}^B \equiv \frac{1}{2} \nabla^k H_{kmn} - \partial^k \phi H_{kmn} = 0$$

imply “central charge” identity $\partial_m \bar{\beta}^\phi = 0$ or dilaton equation

$$\bar{\beta}^\phi \equiv R - \frac{1}{12} H_{mnk}^2 + 4 \nabla^2 \phi - 4 (\partial_m \phi)^2 = \text{const}$$

equivalent to string eqs from $S \sim \int d^d x \sqrt{G} e^{-2\phi} \bar{\beta}^\phi$
– same as NS-NS sector of type II sugra equations

Generalized IIB eqs: [Arutyunov,Frolov,Hoare,AT,Roiban]

$$R_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} H_{mkl} H_n{}^{kl} - T_{mn} + \nabla_m X_n + \nabla_n X_m = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla^k H_{kmn} + \mathcal{I}_{mn} - X^k H_{kmn} - \partial_m X_n + \partial_n X_m = 0$$

$$R - \frac{1}{12} H_{mnk}^2 + 4 \nabla^m X_m - 4 X^m X_m = 0$$

$$T_{mn} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_m \mathcal{F}_n + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{mpq} \mathcal{F}_n{}^{pq} + \frac{1}{4 \times 4!} \mathcal{F}_{mpqrs} \mathcal{F}_n{}^{pqrs}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} G_{mn} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_k \mathcal{F}^k + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{F}_{kpq} \mathcal{F}^{kpq} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{mn} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^k \mathcal{F}_{kmn} + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{F}_{mnklp} \mathcal{F}^{klp}$$

$$\nabla^m \mathcal{F}_m - X^m \mathcal{F}_m - \frac{1}{6} H^{mnp} \mathcal{F}_{mnp} = 0 , \quad I^m \mathcal{F}_m = 0$$

$$(d\mathcal{F}_1 - X \wedge \mathcal{F}_1)_{mn} - I^p \mathcal{F}_{mnp} = 0$$

$$\nabla^p \mathcal{F}_{pmn} - X^p \mathcal{F}_{pmn} - \frac{1}{6} H^{pqr} \mathcal{F}_{mnpqr} - (I \wedge \mathcal{F}_1)_{mn} = 0$$

$$(d\mathcal{F}_3 - X \wedge \mathcal{F}_3 + H_3 \wedge \mathcal{F}_1)_{mnpq} - I^r \mathcal{F}_{mnpqr} = 0$$

$$\nabla^r \mathcal{F}_{rmnpq} - X^r \mathcal{F}_{rmnpq} + \frac{1}{36} \varepsilon_{m \dots w} H^{rst} \mathcal{F}^{uvw} - (I \wedge \mathcal{F}_3)_{mnpq} = 0$$

$$(d\mathcal{F}_5 - X \wedge \mathcal{F}_5 + H_3 \wedge \mathcal{F}_3)_{mnpqrs} + \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{m \dots w} I^t \mathcal{F}^{uvw} = 0$$

two vectors X_m and I_m satisfy

$$X_m = X_m + I_m , \quad \nabla_m I_n + \nabla_n I_m = 0 , \quad X^m I_m = 0$$

$$\partial_m X_n - \partial_n X_m + H_{mnk} I^k = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad X_m = \partial_m \phi + B_{mn} I^n$$

- I_m = Killing vector , X_m = generalized “dilaton gradient”
(solving generalized “dilaton Bianchi identity”)
- if background has no Killings, i.e. $I_m = 0$ then $X_m = \partial_m \phi$
and generalized eqs become standard type IIB supergravity eqs
- if G admits Killing: choose I_m = Killing and get new soln.
corresponding to κ -symmetric, scale-invariant
but not Weyl-invariant GS sigma model
- thus differ by “measure zero” set of special symmetric solns
corresponding to scale but not Weyl inv σ -models
- these special solutions of generalized eqs are classically
T-dual to type II sugra solutions with **non-isometric** dilaton

Review of 2d dualities

Abelian T-duality:

$$L = G(y) \partial x \partial x \rightarrow G(y)(\partial x + A)^2 + \tilde{x} F(A)$$

- (i) gauge $x = 0$, integrate out A_a , get dual action $\tilde{L} = G^{-1} \partial \tilde{x} \partial \tilde{x}$
- (ii) gauge $A_1 = 0$, integrate A_0 , get “doubled” action: [AT 93]

$$L(x, \tilde{x}) = 2\dot{x}\tilde{x}' - Gx'x' - G^{-1}\tilde{x}'\tilde{x}'$$

cf. $L = p\dot{x} - H(p, x)$, $p = \tilde{x}'$

general case with $G_{ij} \rightarrow G_{ij} + B_{ij}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$)

$$L = \eta_{mn} \dot{X}^m X'^n - M_{mn} X'^m X'^n , \quad X = (x^i; \tilde{x}^i)$$

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} G - BG^{-1}B & BG^{-1} \\ -G^{-1}B & G^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$O(d, d)$ sym: $X \rightarrow \Lambda X$, $M \rightarrow \Lambda^T M \Lambda$, $M \in \frac{O(d,d)}{O(d) \times O(d)}$

- special case of $O(2, 2)$ is TsT duality:

$$x_1 \rightarrow \tilde{x}_1; \quad x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + \gamma \tilde{x}_1; \quad \tilde{x}_1 \rightarrow x_1$$

$$ds^2 = dy^2 + f_1(y)dx_1^2 + f_2(y)dx_2^2$$

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = dy^2 + U(y)[f_1(y)dx_1^2 + f_2(y)dx_2^2]$$

$$e^{2\phi} = U(y) \equiv \frac{1}{1 + \gamma^2 f_1(y)f_2(y)}, \quad B_{y_1 y_2} = \gamma f_1 f_2 U$$

- interpolates original ($\gamma = 0$) and double-T-dual ($\gamma = \infty$)
- used to construct useful examples of supergravity backgrounds:
Melvin, “non-commutative”, “ β -deformation” [\[Lunin,Maldacena 05\]](#)
- can be interpreted as non-abelian dual for centrally extended
2d Heisenberg group [\[Hoare, AT\]](#)
- ★ T-duality preserves Weyl invariance of sigma-model
(with shifted dilaton: $e^{-2\phi}\sqrt{G} = e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\sqrt{\tilde{G}}$, $\tilde{G} = G^{-1}$)
e.g. maps one isometric supergravity solution into another

Non-abelian duality

can apply when sigma-model has global symmetry G

(abelian T-duality is special case when $G = [U(1)]^d$)

- simplest example: PCM $L = J^2$, $J = g^{-1}\partial g$

$$L(g, v, A) = (g^{-1}\partial g + g^{-1}Ag)^2 + vF(A)$$

$F = dA + A \wedge A$, $v \in \text{alg}(G)$ is analog of \tilde{x} if $g = e^{x^a T_a}$

- (i) gauge $g = 1$ and integrate $A_a \rightarrow$ dual action $L(v)$
(generically with no manifest isometries)
 - (ii) gauge $A_1 = 0$ and integrate $A_0 \rightarrow L(g, v)$: analog of $L(x, \tilde{x})$:
- NAD is path integral transform: [Fridling, Jevicki; Fradkin, AT 84]
preservation of Weyl invariance / supergravity equations?

yes, if $f_{ba}^b = 0$ (G is “unimodular”) [Alvarez, Alvarez-Gaume, Lozano; Elitzur, Giveon, Rabinovici, Schwimmer, Veneziano 94]

[same as condition of consistency of Scherk-Schwarz compactification: group invariance of the volume]

- **Example:** non-semisimple dilatation+translations algebra

$$[D, P_a] = P_a, \quad [P_a, P_b] = 0 \quad \text{has } n_a \equiv f_{ba}^b \neq 0$$

for $n_a \neq 0$ NAD may map Weyl-inv model to scale-inv one, i.e. supergravity solution to solution of “generalized” equations

- on curved 2d background in conformal gauge $g_{ij} = e^{2\sigma} \eta_{ij}$

$$L = E_{ab}(y) J_+^a J_-^b + \dots$$

$$J_i^a = \text{tr}(g^{-1} \partial_i g \tilde{T}^a), \quad [T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c, \quad \text{tr}(T_a \tilde{T}^b) = \delta_a^b$$

\tilde{T}^a is a dual algebra basis

$$\text{dilaton term } \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \sqrt{g} R^{(2)} \phi(y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \phi(y) \partial^2 \sigma$$

original action from path integral over A_\pm^a and v_a with

- “interpolating” action ($g = 1$ gauge)

$$\widehat{L} = E_{ab}(y) A_+^a A_-^b + v_a \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a + 2\alpha' \sigma n_a \partial^i A_i^a - 2\alpha' \phi(y) \partial_+ \partial_- \sigma$$

$$F_{ij}^a \equiv \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a + f_{bc}^a A_i^b A_j^c , \quad n_a = \text{tr } T_a = f_{ba}^b$$

- n_a -term: from Jacobian of transformation from

$A_+ = h^{-1} \partial_+ h$, $A_- = h'^{-1} \partial_- h'$ to h, h' in curved space

related to the “mixed” gauge anomaly $\partial_i \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A_i} \sim R^{(2)}$

corresponding to $\nabla^i A_i \nabla^{-2} R$ term in eff. action

- dual model found by integrating out A_i^a

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{L} &= N^{ab}(v, y) (\partial_+ v_a + \alpha' n_a \partial_+ \sigma) (\partial_- v_b - \alpha' n_b \partial_- \sigma) \\ &\quad - 2\alpha' (\phi(y) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \det N) \partial_+ \partial_- \sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$N^{ab} = [E_{ab}(y) - v_c f_{ab}^c]^{-1}$$

- If $n_a \neq 0$ is not a local σ -model on a curved 2d background

corresponding dual target space background $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{\phi})$

will not solve critical string Weyl-invariance conditions

- on flat 2d backgr NAD dual σ -model will still be scale inv:

\tilde{G}, \tilde{B} in superstring context will solve generalized sugra eqs

- scale-invariant dual background (\tilde{G}, \tilde{B}) can be naturally associated to solution of Weyl-invariance conditions by applying formal (2d flat space) T-duality

in isometric part of v_a parallel to n_a

[set $v_a = u_a + n_a z$, then z is isometry of dual background

$$v_a F_{ij}^a = u_a F_{ij}^a + z(\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i), A_i = n_a A_i^a = \text{tr } A_i$$

$(n_a f_{bc}^a = f_{da}^d f_{bc}^a = 0$ from Jacobi identity)

$$v_a \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a + 2\alpha' \sigma n_a \partial^i A_i^a \rightarrow -2\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i z A_j + 2\alpha' \sigma \partial^i A_i$$

T-duality in the z direction gives $A_i = \partial_i \tilde{z}$ and

$$2\alpha' \sigma \partial^i A_i \rightarrow -2\alpha' \tilde{z} \partial_+ \partial_- \sigma \sim \tilde{z} \sqrt{g} R^{(2)}]$$

get dilaton linear in dual coordinate: $\phi \sim \tilde{z}$

- integrating rest of A_i^a out gives local Weyl-invariant σ -model with a linear **non-isometric** dilaton:

solution of supergravity which cannot be T-dualized in \tilde{z}
to find another solution;

- thus by formal (“flat-space”) T-duality
get solution of generalized eqs

TsT as special case of non-abelian duality

start with $\mathcal{L} = f_1(\partial_i x_1)^2 + f_2(\partial_i x_2)^2$

“interpolating” action for first 2 steps of TsT

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = f_1(A_i)^2 + f_2(\partial_i x_2 + \gamma \partial_i \tilde{x}_1)^2 + 2\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{x}_1 A_j$$

T-dualizing again $\tilde{x}_1 \rightarrow x_1$ by introducing A'_i

$$\mathcal{L}' = f_1(A_i)^2 + f_2(\partial_i x_2 + \gamma A'_i)^2 + 2\epsilon^{ij} A'_i A_j + 2\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i x_1 A'_j$$

after field redefinitions ($x_i \rightarrow v_i$) and rescalings

$$\mathcal{L}' = f_1(A_i^1)^2 + f_2(A_i^2)^2 + 2\epsilon^{ij} (v_1 \partial_i A_j^1 + v_2 \partial_i A_j^2) - 2\gamma^{-1} \epsilon^{ij} A_i^1 A_j^2$$

if first integrate over v_r get $A_i^r = \partial_i x_i^r$ i.e. original model

integrating A, A' get σ -model corresponding to TsT background

★ can get same model as NAD for 2d Heisenberg algebra

centrally-extended 2d translations = Heisenberg algebra

$$T_a = (P_1, P_2, Z) , \quad [P_r, P_s] = \epsilon_{rs}Z , \quad [P_r, Z] = 0$$

$$v_a \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a = 2\epsilon^{ij} [v_1 \partial_i A_j^1 + v_2 \partial_i A_j^2 + v_3 (\partial_i A_j^3 + A_i^1 A_j^2)]$$

v^3 and A_i^3 correspond to central generator Z

integrating A_i^3 gives $v_3 = \text{const} \sim \gamma^{-1} \rightarrow \text{TsT model}$

- thus considering central extension allows to introduce an extra free parameter γ absent in NAD
- γ as background value of dual coordinate corresponding to the central generator Z
- origin of B -field of the resulting background traced to the non-abelian nature of the Heisenberg algebra
- related to the non-commutativity of dual gauge theory
(cf. [\[Hashimoto, Itzhaki; Maldacena, Russo; Lunin, Maldacena\]](#))

Poisson-Lie duality [Klimcik, Severa 95]

generalization of non-abelian duality: replace isometry by weaker condition of Poisson-Lie symmetry

- special choice of **non-constant** kinetic matrix $E_{ab}(g)$ in

$$L = E_{ab}(g) J_+^a J_-^b = K_{ij}(x) \partial_+ x^i \partial_- x^j, \quad K = G + B$$

$$\delta g = \epsilon g, \quad g = g(x), \quad \epsilon = \epsilon^a T_a, \quad \delta x^i = R_a^i(x) \epsilon^a$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{R_a}, \mathcal{L}_{R_b}] = f_{ab}^c \mathcal{L}_{R_c}, \quad [T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c$$

instead of G -invariance $\mathcal{L}_{R_a} K_{ij} = 0$ demand

$$\mathcal{L}_{R_a} K_{ij} = K_{ik} R_b^k \tilde{f}_a^{bc} R_c^m K_{mj}$$

\tilde{f}_a^{bc} – structure consts of dual group \tilde{G} of **same** dimension

- current $J_a = K_{ij} dx^i R_a^j$: “non-commutative conservation”

$$d * J_a + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}_a^{bc} * J_b \wedge * J_c = 0$$

- Poisson-Lie duality: $E_{ab}(g) J_+^a J_-^b \rightarrow \tilde{E}^{ab}(\tilde{g}) \tilde{J}_{a+} \tilde{J}_{b-}$

exchanges roles of groups G and \tilde{G}

Drinfeld double: Lie algebra $\mathcal{D} = \text{alg}(G) \oplus \text{alg}(\tilde{G})$

sum of two maximally isotropic ($\langle X|Y \rangle = 0$) subalgebras wrt

bilinear form: $\langle T_a | T_b \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}^a | \tilde{T}^b \rangle = 0 , \quad \langle T_a | \tilde{T}^b \rangle = \delta_a{}^b$

$$[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c, \quad [\tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}_c^{ab} \tilde{T}^c$$

$$[T_a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}_a{}^{bc} T_c - f_{ac}{}^b \tilde{T}^c$$

$$[T_A, T_B] = f_{AB}^C T_C, \quad T_A = (T_a, \tilde{T}^a), \quad \text{Jacobi:}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{[b}{}^{[c} f_{d]a}{}^{g]} - \tilde{f}^{gc}{}_a f_{db}{}^a = 0$$

- two PL dual σ -models: data – groups G and \tilde{G} and $E_{0ab}=\text{const}$

$$L = E_{ab}(g) J_+^a J_-^b , \quad J^a = \text{tr}(T^a g^{-1} dg) , \quad E = [E_0^{-1} + \Pi(g)]^{-1}$$

$$\tilde{L} = \tilde{E}^{ab}(\tilde{g}) \tilde{J}_{a+} \tilde{J}_{b-} , \quad \tilde{J}_a = \text{tr}(\tilde{T}_a \tilde{g}^{-1} d\tilde{g}) , \quad \tilde{E} = [E_0 + \tilde{\Pi}(\tilde{g})]^{-1}$$

$$g \in G, \quad \{T_a\}, \quad \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}, \quad \{\tilde{T}^a\}, \quad a = 1, \dots, d$$

$$E_0 = \text{const}; \Pi, \tilde{\Pi} \text{ antisymm: } \Pi^{ab} = V^{ca} U_c{}^b, \quad \tilde{\Pi}_{ab} = \tilde{V}_{ca} \tilde{U}^c{}_b$$

$$g^{-1} T_a g = V_a{}^b(g) T_b, \quad g^{-1} \tilde{T}^a g = U^{ab}(g) T_b + (V^{-1})_b{}^a(g) \tilde{T}^b$$

$$\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{T}^a \tilde{g} = \tilde{U}^a{}_b(\tilde{g}) \tilde{T}^b, \quad \tilde{g}^{-1} T_a \tilde{g} = \tilde{V}_{ab}(\tilde{g}) \tilde{T}^b + (\tilde{U}^{-1})^b{}_a(\tilde{g}) T_b$$

- PL duals are canonically equivalent : $\tilde{P}^a = J_0^a, \quad \tilde{J}_{a0} = P_a$
 $J_0^a = J_1^a + \Pi^{ab} P_b, \quad \tilde{J}_{a0} = \tilde{J}_{1a} + \tilde{\Pi}_{ab} \tilde{P}^b$

Examples:

- \mathcal{D} is abelian: $G = \tilde{G} = T^d$ – abelian T-duality
- \mathcal{D} is semi-abelian: \tilde{G} =abelian – non-abelian duality
- $\mathcal{D} = SL(2, C), \quad G = SU(2), \quad \tilde{G} = B_2$ =Borel
e.g. η -deformation of S^2 is PL dual
to corresponding λ -model [Hoare, AT 15]
- generic E -model (parametrized by const matrix E_0)
is PL dual (with $\mathcal{D} = G^c$) to gWZW “ Q -model” [Klimcik 16]

- PL duality: classical phase space structures of dual models are closely related (Poisson bracket algebra, hidden charges,...)
- PL duality preserves β -functions – scale invariance

[Alexeev, Klimcik, AT 95; Sfetsos et al 98; Klimcik et al 01]

- Weyl invariance (and thus supergravity equations) preserved provided both $f_{ab}^a = 0$ and $\tilde{f}_a^{ba} = 0$

[Tseytin, Unge 96; Bossard, Mohammedi 01]

- “doubled” action $I(g, \tilde{g})$ = special action on the double \mathcal{D}

[Alekseev, Klimcik, AT 95; Klimcik, Severa 95]

$$\begin{aligned} I[\ell] &= I'_{\text{WZW}}[\ell] - \int d^2 z \langle J_1 | \mathcal{R} | J_1 \rangle \\ &= \int d^2 z (\langle J_0 | J_1 \rangle - \langle J_1 | \mathcal{R} | J_1 \rangle) + \text{WZ-term} \\ J_r &= \ell^{-1} \partial_r \ell \in \mathcal{D} \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{R} operator: ± 1 on “chiral” subspaces defined by E_0 -matrix:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ = \{T_a + E_{0ab} \tilde{T}^b\}, \quad \mathcal{D}^- = \{T_a - E_{0ba} \tilde{T}^b\}, \quad \langle \mathcal{D}^+ | \mathcal{D}^- \rangle = 0$$

Integrable sigma models

given integrable string σ -model – how to find another one?

★ if abelian isometries: apply abelian T-duality

e.g. TsT $\rightarrow \beta$ -deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [Lunin,Maldacena 05]

★ if non-abelian isometries: apply non-abelian duality

★ if PL symmetry: apply PL duality

Other options? e.g. start with PCM and deform

$$L = J_+ J_- + M(g) J_+ J_-, \quad J = g^{-1} dg$$

• which $M(g)$ preserves integrability?

• conformal invariance ?

deform WZW or F/F gWZW

“universal WZW” or “ Q -model” [AT 93]

$$I_Q(g, A) = I_{\text{gWZW}}(A, g) + \int d^2 z A_+ Q A_- , \quad Q = \text{const}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_Q &= I_{\text{WZW}}(g) + \int d^2 z \text{Tr} \left[-A_+ \partial_- g g^{-1} + g^{-1} \partial_+ g A_- \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g^{-1} A_+ g A_- + A_+ (Q - I) A_- \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Tr}[g^{-1} A_+ g A_- + A_+ (Q - I) A_-] = A_+^a [C_{ab}(g) + Q_{ab} - \eta_{ab}] A_-^b$$

$$[T_a, T_b] = i f_{ab}^c T_c , \quad g^{-1} \partial g = J^a T_a$$

$$\text{Tr}(T_a T_b) = \eta_{ab} , \quad C_{ab}(g) \equiv \text{Tr}(T_a g T_b g^{-1})$$

$Q_{ab} = \text{Tr}(T_a Q T_b)$ =const matrix of deformation parameters

$$I_Q(g) = I_{\text{WZW}}(g) + \int d^2 z \mathcal{M}_{ab}(g) J_+^a J_-^b$$

$$\mathcal{M} = [C(g) + Q - I]^{-1}$$

- one-loop Weyl inv: conditions on Q [AT 93]

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{mkl}f_{nkl} - \frac{1}{2}f_{mkl}f_{nk'l'}\mathcal{K}_{kk'}\mathcal{K}_{ll'} - \frac{1}{2}f_{mkl}f_{nk'l'}\mathcal{K}_{kk'}^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{ll'}^{-1} \\ & + f_{m'kl}f_{n'k'l'}\mathcal{K}_{mm'}\mathcal{K}_{nn'}\mathcal{K}_{kk'}\mathcal{K}_{ll'}^{-1} - f_{m'kl}f_{n'kl}\mathcal{K}_{mm'}\mathcal{K}_{nn'} = 0 \\ & \mathcal{K} \equiv I - 2Q^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

similar to Virasoro master equation [Halpern,Kiritsis et al 90]

★ require classical integrability:

“ λ -model”: $Q \sim I, \quad \mathcal{M} \sim [I - \lambda C(g)]^{-1}$

integrable interpolation between

gWZW and non-abelian dual of PCM [Sfetsos 13]

λ -model : coset case $Q \sim P = P_{F/G}$ =projector
deformed F/F gauged WZW model; parameters (k, λ) :
 $\gamma \equiv \lambda^{-2} - 1$; $g \in F$, $A \in \text{alg}(F)$

$$I_{k,\lambda}(g, A) = k \left[I_{\text{gWZW}}(g, A) - \gamma \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(A_+ P A_- \right) \right]$$

(i) $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$: F/G gWZW

(ii) $\gamma \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$: $\lambda = 1 - \frac{\pi}{k}h + \dots$, $\gamma = \frac{2\pi}{k}h + \dots$

$g = e^{-v/k} = 1 - \frac{1}{k}v + \dots$, $v \in \text{alg}(F)$ [Sfetsos 13]

$$I_{k \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \rightarrow 1} = \int d^2x \text{Tr} \left[v F_{+-}(A) - h A_+ P A_- \right]$$

- interpolating between F/G gWZW model
and non-abelian dual of F/G coset model
- to get conf. invariance: promote to superstring model

η -model : integrable deformation of PCM

“Yang-Baxter” σ -model [Klimcik 02,08]

$$L_0 = h \operatorname{Tr} (J_+ J_-), \quad J = g^{-1} dg, \quad g \in G$$

$$L_\eta = h \operatorname{Tr}(J_+ M J_-) , \quad M(g) = \frac{1}{1 - \varkappa R_g} , \quad \varkappa = \frac{2\eta}{1 - \eta^2}$$

$$R_g(X) = g^{-1} R(gXg^{-1})g , \quad X \in \operatorname{alg}(G), \quad R^T = -R$$

$R=\text{const}$ – analog of Q – solution of modified YBE

$$[R(X), R(Y)] - R([R(X), Y] + [X, R(Y)]) = c [X, Y]$$

- classical integrability for any \varkappa : Lax pair
- manifest $G \times G$ symmetry broken to $[U(1)]^r \times G$
- hidden non-local charges: $U_q[\operatorname{alg}(G)]$, $q = e^{-\varkappa/h}$
- simplest example: “squashed” S^3 [Cherednik 81]

η -deformed G/H model [Delduc, Magro, Vicedo 13]

$$L_\eta = h \operatorname{Tr} (J_+ M J_-) , \quad M = \frac{P}{1 - \varkappa R_g \cdot P}$$

$U_q[\operatorname{alg}(G)]$ symmetry: $q = e^{-\varkappa/h}$

- local charges: G broken to $[U(1)]^r$ – Cartans of $\operatorname{alg}(G)$
- non-local charges: for simple roots

$$\{Q_{+\alpha_n}, Q_{-\alpha_m}\} = i\delta_{nm}[C_n]_q , \quad [C]_q = \frac{q^C - q^{-C}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

- deformed S^2 = “sausage” model [Fateev, Onofri, Zamolodchikov 93]

λ -model and η -model :

actions look very different;

different manifest symmetries but closely related:

- η -model from λ -model as a limit (+ analytic cont) [Hoare, AT 15]

- form pair of Poisson-Lie dual models

share q-deformed phase space structure

[Vicedo 15; Hoare, AT 15; Sfetsos, Siampos, Thompson 15; Klimcik 16]

λ -model – analog of diagonal subgroup member

η -model – analog of solvable subgroup member

– two “faces” of first order “interpolating” theory

defined on the double

$$AdS_5 \times S^5 \text{ superstring } \sigma\text{-model}: \quad \frac{\widehat{F}}{G} = \frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)}$$

Z_4 of $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$: projectors $P_0 + P_2 + P_1 + P_3 = I$

$$L_0 = h \operatorname{Str} (J_+ M_0 J_-)$$

$$J_a = g^{-1} \partial_a g \ , \qquad M_0 \equiv P_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}(P_1 - P_3)$$

η_c -deformed model: $c = 1$ or $c = 0$

$$L_\eta = h \operatorname{Str} (J_+ M J_-) \ , \qquad \varkappa = \frac{2\eta}{1-\eta^2}$$

$$M = \frac{P_\varkappa}{1 - \varkappa R_g \cdot P_\varkappa} \ , \qquad P_\varkappa = P_2 + \tfrac{1}{1+\textcolor{red}{c}\sqrt{1+\varkappa^2}}(P_1 - P_3)$$

$$R_g(X) = g^{-1} R(gXg^{-1})g \ , \qquad X \in \operatorname{alg}(\widehat{F})$$

$$[R(X), R(Y)] - R([R(X), Y] + [X, R(Y)]) = \textcolor{red}{c} [X, Y]$$

classically integrable; fermionic “kappa-symmetry”

★ η_1 model: modified classical YBE

- $R = \pm 1$ on \pm roots, 0 on Cartans [Delduc, Magro, Vicedo 13,14]
- all of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is deformed; susy broken;
- 6 Cartan directions of $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$ remain isometries
- PL dual to λ -model [Vicedo 15]

★ η_0 model: classical YBE [Kawaguchi, Matsumoto, Yoshida 14]

$$R(X) = r^{ij} \text{Str}(T_i X) T_j, \quad R = \frac{1}{2} r^{ij} T_i \wedge T_j \in \text{alg}(F) \times \text{alg}(F)$$

(i) abelian: $[T_i, T_j] = 0$; (ii) non-abelian (e.g. Jordanian)

- can deform AdS_5 or S^5 separately; some susy and isometries
- abelian models are “trivial”: related by TsT to
undeformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [Matsumoto, Yoshida 14; van Tongeren et al 15,16]
- non-abelian models: related to $AdS_5 \times S^5$
by non-abelian duality for qF subgroup corresponding to R
[Hoare, AT; Vicedo; Borasto, Wulff]

η_0 -model – YB deformations

- YB deformation based on abelian r-matrix \leftrightarrow
TsT transform of undeformed G -model \leftrightarrow
NAD wrt centrally-extended abelian algebra
- YB model with non-abelian r-matrix \leftrightarrow
NAD wrt centrally-extended quasi-Frobenius subalgebra of G

[Hoare, AT 16; Borsato, Wulff 16]

- YB r-matrices of real semisimple algebra \leftrightarrow
quasi-Frobenius subalgebras of G [Stolin; Vicedo]
qF algebra:

(i) equipped with non-degenerate skew bilinear form

$\omega(X, Y) = -\omega(Y, X)$ which is

(ii) 2-cocycle: $\omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega([Y, Z], X) + \omega([Z, X], Y) = 0$

(iii) ω^{-1} satisfies cYBE, i.e. can be identified with r -matrix

Examples:

- ★ abelian $r = T_1 \wedge T_2 + T_3 \wedge T_4 + \dots$, $[T_a, T_b] = 0$
- ★ unimodular non-abelian: $[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c$, $f_{ba}^b = 0$
- ★ non-unimodular jordanian r-matrices: $[T_1, T_2] = T_2, \dots$
- if qF subalgebra is not unimodular

NAD breaks Weyl invariance

- in the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ non-trivial examples
for subgroups of $SO(2, 4)$

η_1 -model – modified YB deformations

[Klimcik; Delduc, Magro, Vicedo]

- based on solution of modified classical YBE:

$$[R(X), R(Y)] - R([R(X), Y] + [X, R(Y))] = [X, Y]$$

- symmetry of original model is q-deformed
- resulting η -model is scale but not Weyl-inv [Arutyunov et al]
- related by flat-space T-duality to Weyl-inv theory with linear non-isometric dilaton [Hoare, AT]
- related by PL duality to Weyl-invariant λ -model

[Hollowood, Miramontes, Schmidt; Vicedo, Hoare, AT;

Sfetsos, Siampos, Thompson; Klimcik]

- origin of Weyl anomaly in PL duality should be in $\tilde{f}_{ab}^a \neq 0$
- properly defined theory on a double should be Weyl-invariant?
consistent string theory in “complexified” space?

Conclusions and open questions:

by-products of studies of integrable

$AdS_5 \times S^5$ supercoset σ -model deformations:

- discovery of generalized sugra equations equivalent to conditions of classical \varkappa -symmetry of GS σ -model
- role of generalized T-dualities:

non-abelian and Poisson-Lie transformations

implications in AdS/CFT context?

- gauge theory connection unclear in general apart from “abelian” η_0 -model – standard TsT of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ → non-commutative versions of SYM / integrable twists
- “non-abelian” cases: non-trivial background fields vacua breaking 4d Poincare symmetry ... examples useful from gauge-theory perspective ?